PRESEnCE: Performance Evaluation of SaaS Web Services across Multi-Cloud Providers

Abdallah Ali Z.A. IBRAHIM

February 22, 2017 NESUS PhD Symposium



COMPUTER SCIENCE AND COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH UNIT



Agenda

- Introduction
- Problem
- Motivation
- Objectives
- Methodology
- Testing
- Challenges & Benefits
- Future work



COMPUTER SCIENCE

AND COMMUNICATIONS

Introduction

 Cloud services providers (CSPs) deliver cloud services to cloud customers on a pay-per-use model.

• Quality of the provided services are defined using Service Level Agreements (SLAs)



SLA : Services Level Agreement





Services Availability

Services Performance QoS

COMPUTER SCIENCE

AND COMMUNICATIONS

CSC



abdallah.ibrahim@uni.lu NESUS PhD Symposium

The Problem

- No standard mechanism which **exists** to:
 - Verify and assure that delivered services satisfy the signed SLA automatically

• No way to measure accurately the Quality of Services (QoS).

• It is difficult to verify on the response times or the services performance !!



Motivation

- It is difficult to be installed as a third party:
 - Between the CSPs and the cloud customers !

 So, the PRESEnCE framework (PeRformance Evaluation of SErvices on the Cloud) is proposed



Objectives and Ambitions

- We aim at offering an automatic framework able to:
 - Evaluate the QoS and SLA compliance of Web Services offered
 - And across several Cloud providers.
- By:
 - Quantify in a fair and by stealth way the performance and scalability of the delivered Web Services.
 - Assess the claimed SLA and the corresponding QoS from a set of relevant performance metrics (response time).
 - Provide a multi-objective analysis of the gathered performance metrics to be able to classify cloud brokers



• PRESEnCE framework :

- Define Common metrics for measuring:
 behavior of services/applications
 Services delivered by specific cloud
 - Services delivered by specific cloud providers.

Metrics assess the providers' scalability and performance for the services.

Methodology(cont.)

- Measure SaaS Web Service performance(p), with :
 - parallel workload in each client
 - Common parameters: #threads, #clients
 - Used to stress the tested web service
 - Standard workload representation (toml, etc.)



Doing this through multiple Cloud services provider

SC COMPUTER SCIENCE AND COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH UNIT



Proposed Evaluation Setup

- Use reference benchmarks to evaluate perf.
- Make it unnoticeable for cloud providers.
 - Mimic "normal" client network patterns
 - Or deploy a closed environment (same physical machin, etc)
- Example of selected benchmarks
 - Iperf
 - Twitter RPC-perf
 - Apache HTTP server benchmarking tool
 - Yahoo Streaming Benchmark



Experimental Setup: context #1

• Obj.: Use a closed environment to evaluate the expected web service performance

- Try to deploy a VM on similar machine
- Enable more control on the deployed environment
- Typical benchmark in this context: Iperf



Experimental Setup: context #2

- Obj.: stress the web service using client based benchmark
 - Try to hide benchmarking campaign from cloud provider (avoid VW group scandal)
 - Requires careful analysis of normal client behavior
 - Requires Standard workload representation (toml, etc.)
 - Typical benchmark in this context:
 - Rpc-Perf
 - ab- Apache HTTP server
 - Yahoo streaming benchmark





Experiment not only local VMs and Servers

Going for a real data center hypervisors (VMs and servers):

- UL HPC
- Xen
- -KVM
- Grid 5000
- Amazon web services





- Find a way to combine these tools by stealth to evaluate cloud application performance.
 - Because CSPs could adapt their behavior, when they entered an evaluation campaign.

- We want to prevent these actions in cloud sector
 - evaluate SaaS-based services performance as close as possible from a huge usage of the service.



Expected Benefits

- For the CSPs:
 - PRESEnCE assesses the performance and SLA appliance of their offer or the one of their competitors
- For end-users(Cloud Customers):

 – PRESEnCE framework permits to control and validate the SLA and corresponding QoS.





Future work

Get the correlations between the metrics
 – Classify the cloud services providers

 Measuring the behavior of the specific classes of the applications



Thank you for your attention



Abdallah Ali Zainelabden Abdallah IBRAHIM abdallah.ibrahim@uni.lu pcog.uni.lu



COMPUTER SCIENCE

AND COMMUNICATIONS

CSC

abdallah.ibrahim@uni.lu NESUS PhD Symposium